

Stereoselective Synthesis of 5-Methylphosphono-D-Arabino Hydroximolactone, Inhibitor of Glucosamine-6-Phosphate Synthase and Phosphoglucose Isomerase.

Corentin Le Camus, Alexia Chassagne, Marie-Ange Badet-Denisot and Bernard Badet*

Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles-C.N.R.S. 91198 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

Received 9 October 1997; accepted 29 October 1997

Abstract: Compound 2, synthesized from D-arabinose in 12 steps with an overall 4% yield, is a competitive inhibitor vs fructose-6P for both phosphoglucose isomerase and glucosamine-6P synthase.

© 1997 Published by Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

The reaction performed by glucosamine-6P synthase (L-glutamine: D-fructose-6-phosphate amidotransferase, GlmS), *i.e.* conversion of fructose-6P into glucosamine-6P, is believed to proceed through the formation of intermediate 1, a Schiff base between the keto group of the sugar and the ammonia generated from the glutamine amide function¹. This intermediate is then processed by the keto/aldose isomerase activity of GlmS to give glucosamine-6P. In the course of our search for specific inhibitors of this enzyme involved in microbial cell wall biosynthesis, the phosphonate 2 was considered to mimick reasonably well the putative intermediate 1 without presenting the sensitivity of the phosphate bond towards hydrolysis.

We now describe the synthesis of 2 and its evaluation in vitro against Escherichia coli GlmS and commercially available rabbit muscle phosphoglucose isomerase (PGI), another member of the keto/aldose isomerase family using fructose-6P as a substrate.

Fully protected D-arabinose 3 (scheme 2), obtained according to literature (Mp 80-82°C, lit² 80-81°C), was detritylated in 93% yield with 6M HCl in THF³. The resulting primary alcohol was oxidized under Moffatt conditions⁴ to afford the corresponding aldehyde which was used without further purification. The methyl phosphonate was isolated in 51% yield from the aldehyde following Horner-Emmons condensation with the tetraethyl methylenediphosphonate carbanion⁵ (sodium hydride-ether) and hydrogenation over PtO₂. Hydrolysis with sulfuric acid (2M in AcOH, 60°C) afforded 4 in 73% yield as a mixture of non separable anomers. 4 was readily oxidized into the corresponding lactone unwilling, however, to give a stable adduct upon condensation with hydroxylamine.

^{*}Fax: (33-1) 69 07 72 47; e-mail: Bernard.Badet@icsn.cnrs-gif.fr

i) 6M HCI/THF; ii) DCC/DMSO; iii) [(EtO)₂PO] ₂CHNa/Ether; iv) H₂/PtO₂/EtOH; v) 2M H₂SO₄/AcOH; vi) NH₂OH,HCI-MeONa/MeOH; vii) NaIO₄-HNaCO₃/EtOH-H₂O

Scheme 2

Inverting the sequence of these two steps, *i.e.* reaction of 4 with hydroxylamine and oxidation by sodium metaperiodate (1.1 equivalents) in the presence of sodium bicarbonate⁶, gave the protected hydroximolactone 5 in 34 % yield for the two steps.

Phosphonate ester hydrolysis was accomplished using neat bromotrimethylsilane⁷ followed by anhydrous triethylamine quenching of the reaction mixture. Hydrogenolysis of the benzyl groups was finally performed (MeOH, 1 eq. triethylamine) with a large excess of Pd/C (1:1 by weight) and hydrogen gas, to give the hydroximolactone 2 in 50% yield as a monotriethyl ammonium salt after lyophilization⁸.

When tested against purified Escherichia coli GlmS⁹ or commercially available rabbit PGI, 2 behaved as a competitive inhibitor vs fructose-6P with respective K_i of 0.4 mM and 32 μ M. These values reflect an affinity of 2 for the enzyme active site similar to that of the substrate $(K_m/K_i = 1 \text{ and } 3.1 \text{ respectively})$. Since PGI is known to recognize the cyclic form of fructose-6P¹⁰, it can be concluded that GlmS might operate in the same way before undergoing Schiff base formation between fructose-6P and lysine 603¹.

Acknowledgments: We thank the Ministère de l'Education Nationale de la Recherche et de l'Industrie for financial support to A.C and C.L.

References and notes

- 1. Golinelli-Pimpaneau, B.; Le Goffic, F.; Badet, B. Eur. J. Biochem.. 1991, 201, 175-182.
- 2. Glaudemans, C.P.J.; Fletcher Jr., H.G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 4636-4641.
- 3. Frankowski, A.; Seliga, C.; Bur, D.; Streith, J. Helv. Chim. Acta 1991, 74, 934-940.
- 4. Jones, G.H.; Moffatt, J.G. Methods Carbohyd. Chem. 1972, 6, 315-322.
- 5. Le Maréchal, P.; Froussios, C.; Level, M.; Azerad, R. Carbohydr. Res. 1981, 94, 1-10.
- 6. Aebisher, B.M.; Hanssen, H.W.; Vasella, A.; Schweizer, W.B. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin 1, 1982, 2139-2147.
- 7. McKenna, C. E.; Higa, M.T.; Cheung, N.H.; McKenna, M.C. Tetrahedron Lett., 1977, 155-158.
- 8. Selected analytical data for 2: ${}^{1}H$ NMR (D₂O) δ 1.4-2.1 (4H, m, H5-H6), 4.05 (1H, t, H3), 4.3 (1H, m, H4), 4.85 (1H, d, H2). ${}^{13}C$ NMR (D₂O) δ 25.8-27.6 (C6, J_{C-P}= 131 Hz), 29.7 (C5), 76.5 (C2), 80.2 (C3), 88.3 (C4), 162 (C1). ${}^{31}P$ NMR (D₂O, ref K₂HPO₄) δ 22.9. MS (negative FAB, glycerol) 240 (M-H)⁻, 183.
- 9. Badet, B.; Vermoote, P.; Haumont, P.Y.; Lederer, F.; Le Goffic, F. Biochemistry 1987, 26, 1940-1948.
- 10. Noltmann, E.A. The Enzymes, 1972, 6, 271-353.